



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

बुधवार, 19 अगस्त, 2015/28 श्रावण, 1937

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

HOME DEPARTMENT
(C-Section)

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 14th August, 2015

No. Home-C-(F)4-1/2012-Loose.—The Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to nominate the name of Sh. Hukam Deen S/o Sh. Nek Mohammad, Village Jhirian, Post Office Majholi, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan as a Member of State Haj Committee under Section 26(1) of

Haj Committee Act, 2002 against the vacancy which has arisen due to the death of a member of H. P. State Haj Committee.

By order,
P. MITRA,
Chief Secretary (Home).

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 21st April, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2012-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Girdhari Lal S/O Shri Mangtu Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Reur, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 06.04.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by Executive Engineer, I.& P.H. Division, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 24 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.03.2012 ;

And whereas Shri Girdhari Lal S/O Shri Mangtu Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 3780/2012. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the civil writ petition on 10.03.2015 and directed the respondent No.-3 i.e. Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the judgment. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3 In view of the settled position of law, the impugned order is thus legally not sustainable. Consequently, impugned orders (Annexure P-4 colly), is quashed and set aside with the direction to respondent No.-3 to take appropriate action in accordance with law. Needful be positively done within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the judgment. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Girdhari Lal S/O Shri Mangtu Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Reur, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.

before the Executive Engineer, I.& P.H. Division, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 06.04.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service during December, 1985 suffers from delay and laches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Girdhari Lal S/O Shri Mangtu Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Reur, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, I.& P.H. Division, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. during December, 1985 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 20th January, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2012-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Hamid Khan S/O Shri Balia Khan, R/O Village Bhadyar, P.O. Brang, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 28.01.2009 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. acting as appropriate Govt. came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of 8 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 16th March, 2012;

And whereas Shri Hamid Khan S/O Shri Balia Khan agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 2261/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 18.10.2014 and directed the undersigned to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:—

"4. The question of delay and laches can be seen at the time of moulding the relief by the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal.

5. Accordingly, in view of above discussion and analysis the present petition is allowed. Annexures P-11 to P-20 dated 19.03.2013, 30.03.2012, 17.03.2012, 30.03.2012, 31.03.2012, 15.02.2013, 22.03.2012, 19.03.2013, 16.03.2012 and 16.03.2012, respectively, are quashed and set aside. Respondent No.-2 is directed make reference

to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Hamid Khan S/O Shri Balia Khan, R/O Village Bhadyar, P.O. Brang, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 28.01.2009 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 01.02.2001 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Hamid Khan S/O Shri Balia Khan, R/O Village Bhadyar, P.O. Brang, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 01.02.2001 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the worker, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 10th April, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2014-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Shalag, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 27.08.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 4 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 26.02.2014;

And whereas Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 1594/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has

disposed of the C.W.P. on 05.03.2015 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

“6 Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed. Annexures P-3, P-3a and P-3b dated 26.02.2014 are quashed and set aside. The Labour Commissioner is directed to make references to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today and the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal shall decide the same within a period of six months after the receipt of references. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram (A)4-9/2006 -IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Shalag, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. before the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 27.08.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 21.12.1998 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Shalag, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 21.12.1998 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 10th April, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2014-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Atma Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 19.09.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate

Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 4 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 26.02.2014;

And whereas Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Atma Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 1594/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 05.03.2015 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

"6 Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed. Annexures P-3, P-3a and P-3b dated 26.02.2014 are quashed and set aside. The Labour Commissioner is directed to make references to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today and the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal shall decide the same within a period of six months after the receipt of references. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram (A)4-9/2006 -IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Atma Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. before the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 19.09.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 16.01.1999 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Atma Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 16.01.1999 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 25th March, 2015

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2012-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Bali Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 27.09.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably,

but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 6 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29.03.2012;

And whereas Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Bali Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 466/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 09.01.2015 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

- "2 The dispute raised in these writ petitions is already determined by the apex Court in the judgment titled **Raghubir Singh versus General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Hissar, reported in 2014 AIR SCW 5515**, and the same has been relied upon by the Co-ordinate Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014, decided on 24.09.2014. Thus, the issue involved in the present writ petitions is covered by the judgment rendered by the apex Court, supra read with the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014.*
- 3 In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to quash the impugned order(s) in the writ petitions and direct the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. The writ petitions are disposed of, as indicated hereinabove, alongwith all pending applications, if any."*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Bali Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 27.09.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of services w.e.f. August, 2004 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Chet Ram S/O Shri Bali Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. August, 2004 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 24th February, 2015

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2013-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Chhippan Dev S/O Shri Man Singh, R/O Village Ghissal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 02.02.2012 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 03.12.2013;

And whereas Shri Chhippan Dev S/O Shri Man Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 9512/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 30.12.2014 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within six weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “2 *The dispute raised in these writ petitions is already determined by the apex Court in the judgment titled **Raghubir Singh versus General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Hissar, reported in 2014 AIR SCW 5515**, and the same has been relied upon by the Co-ordinate Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014, decided on 24.09.2014. Thus, the issue involved in the present writ petitions is covered by the judgment rendered by the apex Court, supra read with the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014.*
- 3 *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to quash the impugned order(s) in the writ petitions and direct the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. The writ petitions are disposed of, as indicated hereinabove, alongwith all pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Chhippan Dev S/O Shri Man Singh, R/O Village Ghissal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 02.02.2012 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. September, 2004 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Chhippan Dev S/O Shri Man Singh, R/O Village Ghissal, P.O. Sach,

Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. September, 2004 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 10th April, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2014-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Shalag, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 27.08.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 4 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 26.02.2014;

And whereas Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 1594/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 05.03.2015 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

"6 Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed. Annexures P-3, P-3a and P-3b dated 26.02.2014 are quashed and set aside. The Labour Commissioner is directed to make references to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today and the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal shall decide the same within a period of six months after the receipt of references. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram (A)4-9/2006 -IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Shalag, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P.

before the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 27.08.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 21.12.1998 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Harish Kumar S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Shalag, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 21.12.1998 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001 the 28th February, 2015

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2013-Chamba.—Whereas Smt. Haziri Dei W/O Shri Sohan Lal, R/O Village and P.O. Shahli, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 08.08.2010 regarding her illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than about 6 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 14.02.2013;

And whereas Smt. Haziri Dei W/O Shri Sohan Lal agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 9514/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 30.12.2014 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

*"2 The dispute raised in these writ petitions is already determined by the apex Court in the judgment titled **Raghubir Singh versus General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Hissar, reported in 2014 AIR SCW 5515**, and the same has been relied upon by the Co-ordinate Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014, decided on 24.09.2014. Thus, the issue involved in the present writ petitions is covered by the judgment rendered by the apex Court, supra read with the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014.*

- 3 *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to quash the impugned order(s) in the writ petitions and direct the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. The writ petitions are disposed of, as indicated hereinabove, alongwith all pending applications, if any."*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Ld. Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Smt. Haziri Dei W/O Shri Sohan Lal, R/O Village and P.O. Shahli, Tehsil Pangli, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 08.08.2010 regarding her alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. October, 2003 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Smt. Haziri Dei W/O Shri Sohan Lal, R/O Village and P.O. Shahli, Tehsil Pangli, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. October, 2003 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 6th April, 2015

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2014-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Hira Lal S/o Shri Thanu Ram, R/O Village Thandal, Post Office Purthi, Tehsil Pangli, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 02.02.2012 regarding his illegal termination from the services by Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D./I.P.H. Killar, Tehsil Pangli, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 6 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.05.2014 ;

And whereas Shri Hira Lal S/o Shri Thanu Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 822/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has

disposed of the civil writ petition on 23.02.2015 and directed the Respondent No.-7 i.e. Labour Commissioner, H.P. to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the judgment. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3 In view of the settled position of law, the impugned orders are thus legally not sustainable. Consequently, impugned orders (Annexure P-2 colly), are quashed and set aside with the direction to respondent No.-7 to take appropriate action in accordance with law. Needful be positively done within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the judgment. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Hira Lal S/o Shri Thanu Ram, R/O Village Thandal, Post Office Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D./I.P.H. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 02.02.2012 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service during September, 2005 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Hira Lal S/o Shri Thanu Ram, R/O Village Thandal, Post Office Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D./I.P.H. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during September, 2005 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 20th January, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2012-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Jai Pal S/O Shri Bakshi Ram, R/O Village Karyal, P.O. Sadhot, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 03.02.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined by the Labour Commissioner H.P. as appropriate Govt. and came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 6 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 16th March, 2012;

And whereas Shri Jai Pal S/O Shri Bakshi Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 2261/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 18.10.2014 and directed the undersigned to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:

- "4. The question of delay and laches can be seen at the time of moulding the relief by the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal.*
- 5. Accordingly, in view of above discussion and analysis the present petition is allowed. Annexures P-11 to P-20 dated 19.03.2013, 30.03.2012, 17.03.2012, 30.03.2012, 31.03.2012, 15.02.2013, 22.03.2012, 19.03.2013, 16.03.2012 and 16.03.2012, respectively, are quashed and set aside. Respondent No.-2 is directed make reference to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs."*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Jai Pal S/O Shri Bakshi Ram, R/O Village Karyal, P.O. Sadhot, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 03.02.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 01.01.2004 suffers from delay and laches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Jai Pal S/O Shri Bakshi Ram, R/O Village Karyal, P.O. Sadhot, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 01.01.2004 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the worker, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 20th January, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2012-Mandi.—Whereas Smt. Jalpi Devi W/O Shri Bhagi Rath, R/O Village Rakhera, P.O. Broti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice

dated 05.04.2010 regarding her illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and undersigned came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 10 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29th March, 2012;

And whereas Smt. Jalpi Devi W/O Shri Bhagi Rath agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 2261/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 18.10.2014 and directed the undersigned to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

"4. The question of delay and laches can be seen at the time of moulding the relief by the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal.

5. Accordingly, in view of above discussion and analysis the present petition is allowed. Annexures P-11 to P-20 dated 19.03.2013, 30.03.2012, 17.03.2012, 30.03.2012, 31.03.2012, 15.02.2013, 22.03.2012, 19.03.2013, 16.03.2012 and 16.03.2012, respectively, are quashed and set aside. Respondent No.-2 is directed make reference to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

"Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Smt. Jalpi Devi W/O Shri Bhagi Rath, R/O Village Rakhera, P.O. Broti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 05.04.2010 regarding her alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 01.10.1999 suffers from delay and laches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Smt. Jalpi Devi W/O Shri Bhagi Rath, R/O Village Rakhera, P.O. Broti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 01.10.1999 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the worker, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 24th February, 2015*

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2013-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Kaman Singh S/O Shri Daulat Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Shahli, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 18.08.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 5 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 30.10.2013;

And whereas Shri Kaman Singh S/O Shri Daulat Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 9512/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 30.12.2014 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within six weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “2 The dispute raised in these writ petitions is already determined by the apex Court in the judgment titled **Raghubir Singh versus General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Hissar, reported in 2014 AIR SCW 5515**, and the same has been relied upon by the Co-ordinate Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014, decided on 24.09.2014. Thus, the issue involved in the present writ petitions is covered by the judgment rendered by the apex Court, supra read with the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014.
- 3 In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to quash the impugned order(s) in the writ petitions and direct the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. The writ petitions are disposed of, as indicated hereinabove, alongwith all pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Kaman Singh S/O Shri Daulat Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Shahli, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 18.08.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. October, 2005 suffers from delay and laches? If not, Whether

termination of the services of Shri Kaman Singh S/O Shri Daulat Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Shahli, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. 18.08.2010 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 20th February, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2012-Mandi.—Whereas Smt. Kamla Devi W/O Shri Ram Chand, R/O Village Rangar, P.O. Seoh, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.01.2010 regarding her illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and undersigned came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 9 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 17th March, 2012;

And whereas Smt. Kamla Devi W/O Shri Ram Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 2261/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 18.10.2014 and directed the undersigned to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:

- “4. *The question of delay and laches can be seen at the time of moulding the relief by the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal.*
5. *Accordingly, in view of above discussion and analysis the present petition is allowed. Annexures P-11 to P-20 dated 19.03.2013, 30.03.2012, 17.03.2012, 30.03.2012, 31.03.2012, 15.02.2013, 22.03.2012, 19.03.2013, 16.03.2012 and 16.03.2012, respectively, are quashed and set aside. Respondent No.-2 is directed make reference to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within a period of four weeks from today. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No costs.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Smt. Kamla Devi W/O Shri Ram Chand, R/O Village Rangar, P.O. Seoh, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 23.01.2010 regarding her alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 01.10.2000 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Smt. Kamla Devi W/O Shri Ram Chand, R/O Village Rangar, P.O. Seoh, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 01.10.2000 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the worker, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 6th April, 2015

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2014-Chamba.—Whereas Smt. Kavita Devi W/O Shri Chota Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Ghisal, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 03.04.2012 regarding her illegal termination from the services by Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. / I.P.H. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 17.05.2014 ;

And whereas Smt. Kavita Devi W/O Shri Chota Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 822/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the civil writ petition on 23.02.2015 and directed the Respondent No.-7 i.e. Labour Commissioner, H.P. to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the judgment. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3 *In view of the settled position of law, the impugned orders are thus legally not sustainable. Consequently, impugned orders (Annexure P-2 colly), are quashed and set aside with the direction to respondent No.-7 to take appropriate action in accordance with law. Needful be positively done within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of the judgment. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Smt. Kavita Devi W/O Shri Chota Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Ghisal, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. / I.P.H. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 03.04.2012 regarding her alleged illegal termination of service during year, 2005 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Smt. Kavita Devi W/O Shri Chota Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Ghisal, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D. / I.P.H. Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during year, 2005 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 6th February, 2015

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2014-Mandi.—Whereas Shri Krishan Lal S/O Shri Govind Ram, R/O Village Naundi, P.O. Balag, Sub Tehsil Nihri, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 12.03.2009 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 4 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 16.03.2012;

And whereas Shri Krishan Lal S/O Shri Govind Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 8537/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 20.11.2014 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner within a period of six weeks strictly in view of the principles laid down in the judgment *CWP No. 2261 of 2014 titled as Bachni Devi & others versus State of H.P. & others, on 18.10.2014*. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “ *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present lis is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in C.W.P. No. 2261 of 2014 titled as Bachni Devi & Others versus State of H.P. & others, on 18.10.2014*
2. *Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from today, strictly in view of the principles laid down in the judgment cited hereinabove. The pending application(s), if any, are also disposed of.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Krishan Lal S/O Shri Govind Ram, R/O Village Naundi, P.O. Balag, Sub Tehsil Nihri, District Mandi, H.P. before the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. vide demand notice dated 12.03.2009 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 21.08.1999 suffers from delay and laches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Krishan Lal S/O Shri Govind Ram, R/O Village Naundi, P.O. Balag, Sub Tehsil Nihri, District Mandi, H.P. by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 21.08.1999 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th February, 2015

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2013-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Kushu Ram S/O Shri Amar Chand, R/O Village Shoon, P.O. Udeen, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 08.08.2010 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-

Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 9 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 14.02.2013;

And whereas Shri Kushu Ram S/O Shri Amar Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 9514/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 30.12.2014 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “2 The dispute raised in these writ petitions is already determined by the apex Court in the judgment titled **Raghubir Singh versus General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Hissar, reported in 2014 AIR SCW 5515**, and the same has been relied upon by the Co-ordinate Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014, decided on 24.09.2014. Thus, the issue involved in the present writ petitions is covered by the judgment rendered by the apex Court, supra read with the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 6687 of 2014.
- 3 In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to quash the impugned order(s) in the writ petitions and direct the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to make references to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. The writ petitions are disposed of, as indicated hereinabove, alongwith all pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Ld. Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Kushu Ram S/O Shri Amar Chand, R/O Village Shoon, P.O. Udeen, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice dated 08.08.2010 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. August, 2001 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Kushu Ram S/O Shri Amar Chand, R/O Village Shoon, P.O. Udeen, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. August, 2001 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 30 March, 2015*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2013-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Mahinder Singh S/O Shri Piar Singh, R/O Village Bah, P.O. Rajhoon, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 04.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Forest Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla (2) The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered and examined and Labour Commissioner as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 5 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 04.03.2013;

And whereas Shri Mahinder Singh S/O Shri Piar Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 8682/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed of the C.W.P. on 03.12.2014 and directed the Labour Commissioner, H.P. to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal within three weeks. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows;

“4 In view of the definitive law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the impugned order dated 4.3.2013, Annexure P-H (collectively), is quashed and set aside. The Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, is directed to refer the dispute to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, within a period of three weeks from today. The Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, shall decide the reference within a period of six months to mitigate the hardship of the petitioners.

5 Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by worker Shri Mahinder Singh S/O Shri Piar Singh, R/O Village Bah, P.O. Rajhoon, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. before the (1) The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Forest Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla (2) The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. vide demand notice dated 04.06.2011 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service w.e.f. 31.03.2006 suffers from delay and latches? If not, Whether termination of the services of Shri Mahinder Singh S/O Shri Piar Singh, R/O Village Bah, P.O. Rajhoon, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. employed as daily wage beldar in the Indo German Changer Project, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P.,

managed by the H.P. Eco Development Society, on the completion of project w.e.f. 31.03.2006 by (1) The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Forest Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla (2) The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 29th January, 2015

No.: 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2014- Chamba.—Whereas Shri Megh Nath S/O Shri Chet Ram, R/O Village- Ghissal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, Distt. Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-27.8.2012 regarding his illegal termination from the services by The Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Killar, Distt. Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the undersigned;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba was considered and examined and undersigned came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 9 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated- 26.5.2014;

And whereas Shri Megh Nath S/O Sh. Chet Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 6687/2014. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on 24.9.2014 and directed the undersigned to refer the dispute of the above workman to the Ld. Labour Court. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:—

4. *In the instant case also, though, there is also a delay in raising the industrial dispute but the Labour Court can always mould the relief taking into consideration the delay and laches.*
5. *In view of the definitive law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the impugned order dated 26.5.2014, Annexure P-2, is quashed and set aside. The Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, is directed to make reference to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court within six weeks from today.*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the industrial dispute raised by the worker Shri Megh Nath S/O Sh. Chet Ram, R/O Village- Ghissal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, Distt. Chamba, H.P. before the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Killar, Distt. Chamba, H.P. vide demand notice, dated-27.8.2012 regarding his alleged illegal termination of service during July, 2003 suffers from delay and latches? If not, “Whether termination of the services of Shri Megh Nath S/O Sh. Chet Ram, R/O Village- Ghissal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Pangi, Distt. Chamba, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Killar, Distt. Chamba, H.P. during the July, 2003 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the worker, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer ?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner.

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला—171 009, 14 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या पसीएच—एचए (1) 2/2014—एसी—कांगड़ा —20108—57.—क्योंकि विभाग मे, जिला कांगड़ा के निम्नलिखित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन हेतु, प्रस्तावनाएँ विचाराधीन है;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 4) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला कांगड़ा के ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों, जिनका विवरण निम्न अनुसूचि में दिया गया है, को निम्नानुसार पुनर्गठित करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला कांगड़ा के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध मे सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं;

यदि निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक एक सप्ताह (7) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा को प्रस्तुत कर सकेगा। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात् आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हो, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे;

राज्य सरकार, जिला कांगड़ा के निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन बारे में अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध मे उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा की सिफारिश के दृष्टिगत जारी करेगी।

क्र. सं.	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिससे क्षेत्रों/ग्रामों को अपवर्जित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के ग्रामों के नाम।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित होने वाले ग्रामों के नाम।	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिनमें कोष्ठ सं0-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को सम्मिलित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-5 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा में पुनर्गठन उपरान्त ग्रामों के नाम।	विवरण
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
विकास खण्ड नुरपुर						
1.	पंजाहड़ा	1. पंजाहड़ा ढाला 2. पंजाहड़ा वरसवाला 3. टियुकर 4. गनोह 5. दुमाल 6. धनेटी चरुडियां 7. ठठर	1. धनेटी चरुडियां 2. ठठर	गुरयाल्	1. गुरयाल 2. गारन 3. धनेटी चरुडिया 4. ठठर	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'पंजाहड़ा' में रहेंगे।
विकास खण्ड धर्मशाला						
2.	कजलोट	1. टाउ 2. चौला 3. चकवन 4. भागसूनाग 5. गुलरीन 6. उपरेड 7. सुधेड़ 8. नरगोटा 9. कजलोट-1 10. कजलोट-2	1. नरगोटा 2. कजलोट-1 3. कजलोट-2 4. सुधेड़	सुधेड़	1. चकवन सुधेड़ 2. धार 3. लोहारखड 4. सुधेड़खास 5. दुरगला 6. रूहरू 7. नरगोटा 8. कजलोट-1 9. कजलोट-2 10. सुधेड़	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्राम को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'कजलोट' में रहेंगे।
2. विकास खण्ड प्रागपुर						
1.	वीहन	1. वीहन 2. नगल 3. वीहन उपरली 4. चकवन वीहन 5. मवा कुडली	1. मवा कुडली	कडोआ	1. कडोआ कलां 2. कडोआ खुर्द 3. मवा कुडली	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्राम को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'वीहन' में रहेंगे।
2.	दोदरा	1. दोदरा कलां 2. दोदरा खुर्द 3. रिजर्व जंगल दोदरा 4. वैह 5. रामवैह	1. वैह 2. रामवैह	ढौंटा	1. ढौंटा 2. फलवाड़ा 3. वैह 4. रामवैह	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'दोदरा' में रहेंगे।
3.	धजाग	1. सुकेड़ 2. धजाग 3. कड़ोल	1. सुकेड़	नलसूहा	1. नलसूहा भरवाडिया 2. मन्दवाड़ा 3. चनौता	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष

					झिकला 4. चनौता उपरला 5. चमनाल 6. नलसूहा 7. सुकेड़	ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'धजाग' में रहेंगे।
4.	चनौर	1. वेही धमलेड़ 2. रिजर्व जंगल चनौर-1 3. चनौर 4. रिजर्व जंगल चनौर-2 5. वैहड़	1. वेही धमलेड़	जम्बल	1. जम्बल 2. वेही धमलेड़	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा चनौर' में रहेंगे।
5.	हार	1. भजनाथ 2. हार	1. भजनाथ	प्रागपुर अपर	1. प्रागपुर 2. भजनाथ	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा हार' में रहेंगे।
6.	प्रागपुर	1. वलाहर 2. मसोट 3. छड़ोल पराली 4. छड़ोल आरली 5. गढ़ 6. प्रागपुर	1. वलाहर 2. मसोट	नलेटी	1. भरडयाल 2. नलेटी 3. समदोल 4. वलाहर 5. मसोट	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा प्रागपुर' में रहेंगे।
7.	वस्सी	1. वस्सी 2. बरवाड़ा 3. वन गकारड	1. बरवाड़ा 2. वन गकारड	बडडल	1. वडडल उपरली 2. वडडल निचली 3. ठोर उपरली 4. ठोर निचली 5. बरवाड़ा 6. वन गकारड	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा वस्सी' में रहेंगे।
विकास खण्ड कांगड़ा						
1.(क)	भाटी	1. भाटी 2. भरियालकर 3. देहरा अरला	1. देहरा अरला	तरसूह	1. तरसूह 2. देहरा अरला	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'भाटी' में रहेंगे। 1(ख) अनुसार भाटी में तरसूह से गबला अन्द्राड़ गांव निकालकर जोड़ने की प्रस्तावना है।
(ख)	तरसूह	1. तरसूह 2. गबला अन्द्राड़	गबला अन्द्राड़	भाटी	1. भाटी 2. भरियालकर 3. गबला अन्द्राड़	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा

						'तरसूह' में रहेंगे। भाटी से देहरा अरला गांव तरसूह में जोड़ने की क्रम संख्या 1(क) अनुसार प्रस्तावना है।
2.	इच्छी खास	1. कलन्दरेड 2. पटोला 3. इच्छी खास	इच्छी खास	झिकली इच्छी	1. वरस वालकर 2. नागन 3. मंगरेड 4. झिकली इच्छी 5. बाग 6. इच्छी खास	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'इच्छी खास' में रहेंगे। हि0 प्र0 पंचायती राज अधिनियम 1994 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (2) के अन्तर्गत ग्राम सभा 'इच्छी खास' के स्थान पर ग्राम सभा 'कलंदरेड' का नाम तथा मुख्यवास घोषित करने की प्रस्तावना है।
3.	बालूगलोआ	1. बाग 2. बरयाला 3. बालूगलोआ 4. चकवन वाडी 5. छाल 6. गगलू 7. खप्पर नाला 8. ओवरा 9. सवार 10. चकवन बालूगलोआ-1	1. बाग	भगवार	1. बाध 2. भगवार 3. सूकाबाग 4. चकवन भंगवार (वे चिराग) 5. बाग	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'बालूगलोआ' में रहेंगे।
विकास खण्ड नगरोटा सूरिया						
1.	घाड़ वरियाल	1. भयाल 2. घाड़	1. भयाल	नन्दपुर	1. नन्दपुर 2. घमीरपुर 3. घनवड 4. भयाल	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'घाड़ वरियाल' में रहेंगे।
विकास खण्ड सूलह						
1.	वाहे दा पट्ट	1. ककरेहड 2. रमेहड 3. खैरा बुहला 4. कल्याडकड 5. पपलाकड	1. लाहडू	खैरा	1. मतेहड 2. डोली 3. वनकुडंग 4. कोठी 5. वलूही	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा

		6. बोरकड 7. लाहडू			6. खैरा उपरला 7. खोली 8. गदियाडा 9. लाहडू	'वाहे दा पट्ट' में रहेंगे।
विकास खण्ड फतेहपुर						
1.	भोलखास	1. नरियाल 2. चकवन 3. फराल 4. भोलखास 5. झिजपुर 6. भगोली उपरली 7. भगोली झिकली	1. भगोली उपरली 2. भगोली झिकली	लारथ	1. लारथ 2. हलवाड़ा 3. मनोट 4. भगोली उपरली 5. भगोली झिकली	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'भोलखास' में रहेंगे।
2.	ढसोली	1. वरोह 2. ढसोली उपरली 3. ढसोली झिकली 4. दियाल 5. कनयार	1. वरोह	लारथ	1. लारथ 2. हलवाड़ा 3. मनोट 4. वरोह (क्रम संख्या 1 अनुसार भगोली उपरली, भगोली झिकली को जोड़ने की भी प्रस्तावना है।)	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'ढसोली' में रहेंगे।
3.	बहादपुर	1. वडूखर 2. दियोठी 3. भदोली 4. वडाला 5. बहादपुर 6. वेली रियाला	1. बहादपुर 2. वेली रियाला	रियाली	1. डूहक वखरियां 2. वेली जट्टा 3. वेली भूरियां 4. रियाली 5. वेली लुधियाडवां 6. वेला ठांकरा 7. रजु पालवा 8. बहादपुर 9. वेली रियाला	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'बहादपुर' में रहेंगे। हि0 प्र0 पंचायती राज अधिनियम 1994 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (2) के अर्न्तगत ग्राम सभा बहादपुर के स्थान पर ग्राम सभा 'वडूखर' नाम तथा मुख्यवास घोषित करने की प्रस्तावना है।
4.	पलाख	1. पलाख 2. डूहग 3. चरुडी	1. डूहक 2. चरुडी	समलेट	1. कोठी 2. मझोली मुख्याल 3. पटटी 4. जम्बाली 5. समलेट खास 6. कुम उपरली 7. कुम झिकली 8. धनाड़ा 9. थलाडा 10. मोहली खास	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर, कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'पलाख' में रहेंगे।

					11. डिडकर 12. डूहक 13. चरुड़ी	
--	--	--	--	--	-------------------------------------	--

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 14 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या पीसीएच-एचए(1) 2/2014-20068-78.—क्योंकि विभाग में, जिला कांगड़ा के निम्नलिखित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन हेतु, प्रस्तावनाएं विचाराधीन हैं;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 4) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला कांगड़ा के ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों, जिनका विवरण निम्न अनुसूचि में दिया गया है, को निम्नानुसार पुनर्गठित करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला कांगड़ा के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध में सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं;

यदि निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक से एक सप्ताह (7) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा को प्रस्तुत कर सकेगा। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात् आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हो, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे;

राज्य सरकार, जिला कांगड़ा के निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन बारे में अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध में उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा की सिफारिश के दृष्टिगत जारी करेगी।

क्र. सं.	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिससे क्षेत्रों/ग्रामों को अपवर्जित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के ग्रामों के नाम।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित होने वाले ग्रामों के नाम।	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिनमें कोष्ठ सं0-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को सम्मिलित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-5 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा में पुनर्गठन उपरान्त ग्रामों के नाम।	विवरण
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
विकास खण्ड सुलह/भवारना						
1.	रैपुर	1. सालन 2. रैपुर 3. थम्बा	सालन पार्ट (खसरा नं0 974 —1106/ 976/1—1107/ 976/1 —1106/972 1105/972 931—932— 932—942— 943—944—945	भटूसमूला	1. कठार 2. भटू पालम 3. सालन पार्ट (खसरा नं0 974 —1106/976/1 —1107/976/1 —1106/972 1105/972 931—932— 932 —942 — 943 —	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'रैपुर' में रहेंगे।

			-976-962- 963-963/1 -968-969- 971-955 924-926-927 -928-929 - 935-936-937 -939- 940- 949-950-951 -952- 953- 964-965-967)		944-945 -976 -962 - 963 - 963/1- 968 -969-971 -955 924-926 -927 -928- 929- 935- 936- 937- 939- 940-949 -950- 951- 952- 953-964 -965-967)	
--	--	--	---	--	---	--

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 14 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या पीसीएच-एचए(1) 2/2014-20057-67.—क्योंकि विभाग में, जिला शिमला के निम्नलिखित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन हेतु, प्रस्तावनाएं विचाराधीन हैं;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 4) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला शिमला के ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों, जिनका विवरण निम्न अनुसूचि में दिया गया है, को निम्नानुसार पुनर्गठित करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला शिमला के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध में सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं;

यदि निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक से एक सप्ताह (7) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला शिमला को प्रस्तुत कर सकेगा। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात् आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हो, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे;

राज्य सरकार, जिला शिमला के निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन बारे में अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध में उपायुक्त, जिला शिमला की सिफारिश के दृष्टिगत जारी करेगी।

क्र. सं.	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिससे क्षेत्रों/ग्रामों को अपवर्जित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के ग्रामों के नाम।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित होने वाले ग्रामों के नाम।	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिनमें कोष्ठ सं0-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को सम्मिलित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-5 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा में पुनर्गठन उपरान्त ग्रामों के नाम।	विवरण
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
विकास खण्ड बसन्तपुर/मशोबरा						
1.	ओखरू	1. बहिक्यार 2. जनीया	1 तपाकडी	हलोग धामी	1. महापुणा 2. धुलण	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों

		3. ओखरू 4. कठियाणा 5. मन्दयाह 6. कैरू 7. पन्जैल जमोगी 8. बंगेरा 9. नेरटी 10. तपाकडी 11. डगयाणा 12. माकडी			3. हलोग 4. शील 5. भज्याड़ 6. कुफरी 7. बीणू 8. घाट 9. गेंचडी 10. ओबरू 11. कनौडी 12. अरलोट 13. पनोग 14. कांयती 15. क्यारी बाग 16. कल्याणी 17. धलोट 18. देलग 19. तपाकडी	को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'ओखरू' में रहेंगे।
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171 009, 14 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या पसीएच-एचए (1) 2/2014-एसी कांगड़ा.—20093-107.—क्योंकि विभाग में, जिला कांगड़ा के निम्नलिखित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन हेतु, प्रस्तावनाएं विचाराधीन हैं;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 4) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला कांगड़ा के ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों, जिनका विवरण निम्न अनुसूचि में दिया गया है, को निम्नानुसार पुनर्गठित करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला कांगड़ा के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध में सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं;

यदि निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक से एक सप्ताह (7) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा को प्रस्तुत कर सकेगा। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात् आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हो, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे;

राज्य सरकार, जिला कांगड़ा के निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन बारे में अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध में उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा की सिफारिश के दृष्टिगत जारी करेगी।

क्र. सं.	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिससे क्षेत्रों/ग्रामों को अपवर्जित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के ग्रामों के नाम।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित होने वाले ग्रामों के नाम।	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिनमें कोष्ठ सं0-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को सम्मिलित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-5 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा में पुनर्गठन उपरान्त ग्रामों के नाम।	विवरण।
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
विकास खण्ड बैजनाथ/पंचरूखी						
1.	भदरैणा	1.भदरैणा 2.घोड़पीठ 3.उपरली भेठ	भदरैणा	पढियारखर	1.सरसावा 2.पुरानी पलम 3.वण्ड 4.लछू 5.पढियारखर 6.भदरैणा	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'भदरैणा' में रहेंगे। हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) के अन्तर्गत ग्राम सभा भदरैणा के स्थान पर ग्राम सभा घोरपीठ का नाम तथा मुख्यावास घोषित करने की प्रस्तावना है।
विकास खण्ड पंचरूखी/भवारना						
2.	राजपुर	1. गोरट 2. राजपुर 3. बाग उपरला 4. मारण्डा	1.बाग उपरला 2.मारण्डा	वनधियार	1.वनधियार 2.मारण्डा 3.बाग उपरला	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'राजपुर' में रहेंगे।

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171 009, 14 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या पसीएच-एचए (1) 2/2014-एसी मण्डी-20078-92.—क्योंकि विभाग में, जिला मण्डी के निम्नलिखित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन हेतु, प्रस्तावनाएं विचाराधीन हैं;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 4) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला मण्डी के ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों, जिनका विवरण निम्न अनुसूचि में दिया गया है, को निम्नानुसार पुनर्गठित करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला मण्डी के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध में सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं;

यदि निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक से एक सप्ताह (7) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला मण्डी को प्रस्तुत कर सकेगा। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात् आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हो, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे;

राज्य सरकार, जिला मण्डी के निम्न अनुसूचि में वर्णित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्रों के पुनर्गठन बारे में अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध में उपायुक्त, जिला मण्डी की सिफारिश के दृष्टिगत जारी करेगी।

क्र. सं.	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिससे क्षेत्रों/ग्रामों को अपवर्जित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के ग्रामों के नाम।	कोष्ठ संख्या-2 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित होने वाले ग्रामों के नाम।	ग्राम सभा का नाम जिनमें कोष्ठ सं0-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को सम्मिलित किया जाना है।	कोष्ठ संख्या-5 में वर्णित ग्राम सभा में पुनर्गठन उपरान्त ग्रामों के नाम।	विवरण।
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
विकास खण्ड सदर/बल्ह						
1.	धार	1. खारसी 2. DPF तारापुर 3. DPF बहलीधार 4. छाबड़ा 5. चन्डेह 6. DPF धलीकर 7. धार 8. घातलू 9. जंजोही	1. खारसी 2. DPF तारापुर	भड़याल	1. भड़याल 2. टिक्कर कलां 3. मल्वाना 4. खारसी 5. डीपीएफ0 तारापुर	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, ग्राम सभा 'धार' में रहेंगे।

		10. DPF जंजोही 11. कोट 12. लजूखर 13. पुखर 14. रखून 15. DPF रुन्झ 16. सावन 17. DPF तराहण 18. बगोध 19. DPF छबौण 20. DPF घातलू				
	विकास खण्ड बल्ह/सदर					
2.	नटनेड़	1. हवाणी 2. लुहारड़ी 3. नटनेड़ 4. रन्धाड़ा	हवाणी के उप गांव घण्डालस के खसरा न0 1 से 186	सरध्वार	1. घोड़ 2. चौकी चन्द्राहण 3. सरध्वार 4. हवाणी के उप गांव घण्डालस के खसरा न0 1 से 186	कोष्ठ संख्या-4 में वर्णित ग्रामों व ग्राम हवाणी, लूहारड़ी व नटनेड़ को अधिसूचना दिनांक 1.8.2015 अनुसार ग्राम सभा बैहल में जोड़ने की प्रस्तावना है, को छोड़कर कोष्ठ संख्या-3 के शेष ग्राम, प्रस्तावित ग्राम सभा रन्धाड़ा में रहेंगे। हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) के अन्तर्गत ग्राम सभा नटनेड़ के स्थान पर ग्राम सभा रन्धाड़ा का नाम तथा मुख्यावास घोषित करने की प्रस्तावना है।

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 4 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या:पीसीएच-एचए (3) 36/96-न0प0 मण्डी -18846-54.—क्योंकि सचिव (शहरी विकास विभाग), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा अधिसूचित अधिसूचना सं० यू० डी०-ए(1)-3/2014 दिनांक 17-3-2015 के अन्तर्गत हिमाचल प्रदेश नगरपालिका अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 4 के अधीन पधर, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नगर पंचायत के रूप में वर्गीकृत किए जाने हेतु उक्त क्षेत्र के बेहतर विकास और सुव्यवस्था के लिए पूर्वोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (2) के अधीन नगरपालिका गठित किए जाने के आशय से, नगरपालिका क्षेत्र के रूप में घोषित किए जाने के सम्बन्ध में आक्षेप/सुझाव हेतु अधिसूचना जारी की गई है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का संख्यांक 4) धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला मण्डी, के संलग्न अनुसूची-क में दिए गए विवरण अनुसार, प्रस्तावित नगर पंचायत पधर की घोषणा के दृष्टिगत, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्र को अपवर्जित/बाहर करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला मण्डी के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध में सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं।

यदि अनुसूची में वर्णित क्षेत्रों की घोषणा के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई भी आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक से तीस (30) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला मण्डी को प्रस्तुत कर सकेंगे। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हों, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे राज्य सरकार, जिला मण्डी के अनुसूची-‘क’ में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के क्षेत्रों को सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित (exclude निकालने) बारे अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध में उपायुक्त जिला मण्डी की सिफारिश व शहरी विकास विभाग की अंतिम अधिसूचना के दृष्टिगत, जारी करेगी।

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	मोहाल	हदबस्त नम्बर	खसरा नम्बर	क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
1.	गवाली	542	727	144-32
2.	पुन्दल	541	1117	264-81
3.	डलाह	537	776	176-49
4.	बाड़ी	534	441	69-37
5.	बसेहड	535	657	145-77
6.	कटीपरी	536	644	61-95
7.	वही	538	530	37-81
8.	सुराहन	539	909	148-77
9.	सनेड़	533	711	88-10
10.	नारला	584	777	200-36
	कुल		7289	1337-75

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 4 अगस्त, 2015

संख्या:पीसीएच-एचए (3) 36/96-कांगड़ा.—क्योंकि सचिव (शहरी विकास विभाग), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा अधिसूचित अधिसूचना सं० चू० डी० ए (1)2014 दिनांक 8-12-2014 के अन्तर्गत हिमाचल प्रदेश नगरपालिका अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 4 के अधीन ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नगर पंचायत के रूप में वर्गीकृत किए जाने हेतु उक्त क्षेत्र के बेहतर विकास और सुव्यवस्था के लिए पूर्वोक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (2) के अधीन नगरपालिका गठित किए जाने के आशय से, नगरपालिका क्षेत्र के रूप में घोषित किए जाने के सम्बन्ध में आक्षेप/सुझाव हेतु अधिसूचना जारी की गई है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1994 (वर्ष 1994 का संख्यांक 4) धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए जिला कांगड़ा, के संलग्न अनुसूची-क में दिए गए विवरण अनुसार, प्रस्तावित नगर पंचायत ज्वाली की घोषणा के दृष्टिगत, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा क्षेत्र को अपवर्जित/बाहर करने का प्रस्ताव करते हैं, और यथा अपेक्षित सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों की जानकारी एवं सार्वजनिक आक्षेप और सुझाव आमंत्रित करने के लिए राज्य सरकार के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने और जिला कांगड़ा के उपायुक्त को, इस सम्बन्ध में सुझावों एवं आक्षेपों को प्राप्त करने तथा उन पर विचार करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करने के आदेश देते हैं।

यदि अनुसूची में वर्णित क्षेत्रों की घोषणा के सम्बन्ध में, सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा सदस्यों को कोई भी आपत्ति या सुझाव प्रस्तुत करने हो तो वह अपने आक्षेप या सुझाव इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने के दिनांक से तीस (30) दिनों की अवधि के भीतर उपायुक्त, जिला कांगड़ा को प्रस्तुत कर सकेंगे। उपरोक्त नियत अवधि के अवसान के पश्चात आक्षेप या सुझाव, जो कोई भी हो, ग्रहण नहीं किए जाएंगे।

राज्य सरकार, जिला कांगड़ा के अनुसूची-‘क’ में वर्णित ग्राम सभा के क्षेत्रों को सम्बन्धित ग्राम सभा से अपवर्जित (exclude निकालने) बारे अन्तिम अधिसूचना, इस सम्बन्ध में उपायुक्त जिला कांगड़ा की सिफारिश व शहरी विकास विभाग की अन्तिम अधिसूचना के दृष्टिगत, जारी करेगी।

आदेश द्वारा,
(ओंकार शर्मा),
सचिव (पंचायती राज)।

अनुसूची ‘क’

क्रम संख्या	मोहाल	हदबस्त नम्बर	खसरा नम्बर	क्षेत्र
1.	ज्वाली खास	62	1-1313/1267	67-95
2.	सुनेहड़	63	1-1141	117-07
3.	बसन्तपुर	61	1-280	23-70
4.	कैहरियां	41	1-2791	202-06
5.	लव	40	1-813	41-65
6.	भनेई	27	1-639	43-46
7.	भोल	26	1-474	47-50
8.	मकड़ाहन	25	1-1136	73-08

9.	पतन	24	1-303	37-16
10.	ढन	23	1-1928	173-88
11.	टयुकरी	39	397-443,600 / 444-601 / 444,445, 447, 602 / 448, 603 / 448, 649, 604 / 650, 605 / 650, 651- 671, 648 / 672, 649 / 72, 485, 487- 520, 606 / 539 / 521, 607 / 589 / 521, 522-525, 608 / 526, 609 / 526, 527-533, 590 / 34, 591 / 534, 597 / 535, 536-567, 610 / 568, 611 / 568, 612 / 568, 569- 575 किता-191	18-85-82
			कुल क्षेत्र	27-16-33 हेक्टेयर

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

ORDER

Dated 18th August, 2015

No. Rev-D(F)4-5/2013.—In exercise of the powers conferred in him vide Para 3.20 and 3.21 under HP Land Record Manual, the undersigned considers it necessary on administrative grounds to exclude Muhal Salahan from Patwar Circle, Sandda and further to include it in Patwar Circle Khaddar, Tehsil Lad-Bhadol, Distt. Mandi, HP with immediate effect. Consequent upon this alteration, Patwar Circle, Sandda shall comprise of total 2 Muhal, Khasra Nos. 7238 and Patwar Circle Khaddar shall comprise of total 5 Muhal and Khasra Nos. 9718 respectively.

By order,
TARUN SHRIDHAR,
Addl. Chief Secretary (Revenue).

व अदालत अनिल भारद्वाज कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी डलहौजी जिला चम्बा हिमाचल प्रदेश।

श्री हरकीरत सिंह पुत्र श्री राम सिंह निवासी बैलून बाजार डलहौजी कैंट तहसील डलहौजी जिला चम्बा हिमाचल प्रदेश।

विषय.—प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम 1969

उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में प्रार्थना पत्र, ब्यान-हल्फी वमय अन्य कागजात इस आशय से गुजारा है कि उसके पुत्र जगजीत सिंह की जन्म-तिथि 25-04-1978 है, जोकि छावनी परिषद् डलहौजी के रिकॉर्ड में दर्ज न है। जिसे दर्ज किया जावे।

इस सम्बन्ध में सर्वसाधारण जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि प्रार्थी के पुत्र की जन्म-तिथि छावनी परिषद् डलहौजी के रिकॉर्ड में दर्ज करने पर, यदि किसी को कोई उजर-एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन अदालत अधोहस्ताक्षरी दिनांक 11-09-2015 को हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज दर्ज करवा सकता है। हाजिर ना आने कि सूरत में एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जा करके नाम व जन्म-तिथि दर्ज करने के आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 06-08-2015 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व अदालत मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

अनिल भारद्वाज,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
डलहौजी (हि0 प्र0)।

व अदालत अनिल भारद्वाज, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी डलहौजी जिला चम्बा हिमाचल प्रदेश।

श्री अंकित कुमार पुत्र श्री पवन कुमार निवासी गांव व डाकघर बनीखेत तहसील डलहौजी जिला चम्बा हिमाचल प्रदेश।

विषय.-प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम 1969

उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में प्रार्थना पत्र, ब्यान-हल्फी वमय अन्य कागजात इस आश्य से गुजारा है कि उसकी जन्म-तिथि 07-09-1993 हैं, जोकि ग्राम पंचायत बनीखेत के रिकॉर्ड में दर्ज न है। जिसे दर्ज किया जावे।

इस सम्बन्ध में सर्वसाधारण जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि प्रार्थी की जन्म-तिथि ग्राम पंचायत बनीखेत के रिकॉर्ड में दर्ज करने पर, यदि किसी को कोई उजर-एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन अदालत अधोहस्ताक्षरी दिनांक 11-09-2015 को हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज दर्ज करवा सकता है। हाजिर ना आने कि सूरत में एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जा करके नाम व जन्म-तिथि दर्ज करने के आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 04-08-2015 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व अदालत मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

अनिल भारद्वाज
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
डलहौजी (हि0 प्र0)।

व अदालत जनाब सहायक समाहर्ता एवम् कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी प्रथम श्रेणी ज्वाली
जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0

श्रीमती पवना देवी w/o श्री करनैल सिंह, निवासी महाल ढन मौजा ज्वाली, तहसील ज्वाली जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म पंजीकरण अधिनियम 1969.

श्रीमती पवना देवी w/o श्री करनैल सिंह, गांव ढन व डा0 ढन, तहसील ज्वाली जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना पत्र गुजारा है कि कुमारी मुसकान पुत्री श्री करनैल सिंह का जन्म 26-12-2007 को गांव ढन में हुआ था, जो गलती से पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं करवा सकी। अब यह जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज करवाना चाहती है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे में कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 18-9-2015 को सुबह 10.00 बजे इस न्यायालय में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा हाजिर ना आने की सूरत में यकतरफा कार्यावाही अमल में लाई जाकर जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत करने के आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे। इसके उपरान्त कोई एतराज न सुना जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 11-8-2015 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
सहायक समाहर्ता एवं कार्याकारी दण्डाधिकारी प्रथम श्रेणी,
ज्वाली।

व अदालत जनाब सहायक समाहर्ता एवम् कार्याकारी दण्डाधिकारी प्रथम श्रेणी ज्वाली,
जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0

श्रीमती सुदेश कुमारी w/o श्री तिलक राज निवासी महाल धलवाडा मौजा चलवाडा तहसील ज्वाली जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम 1969.

श्रीमती सुदेश कुमारी w/o श्री तिलक राज, गांव धलवाडा व डा0 धलवाडा तहसील ज्वाली जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना पत्र गुजारा है कि श्री हरी राम पुत्र नन्द लाल मृत्यु 09-01-1969 को गांव चलवाडा में हुई थी, जो गलती से पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं करवा सकी। अब यह मृत्यु तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज करवाना चाहती है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे में कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 17-9-2015 को सुबह 10.00 बजे इस न्यायालय में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा हाजिर ना आने की सूरत में यकतरफा कार्यावाही अमल में लाई जाकर मृत्यु तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत करने के आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे। इसके उपरान्त कोई एतराज न सुना जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 11-8-2015 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
सहायक समाहर्ता एवं कार्याकारी दण्डाधिकारी प्रथम श्रेणी,
ज्वाली।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्याकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)

श्रीमती कमलेश कुमारी

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना—पत्र जेरे धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती कमलेश कुमारी पत्नी श्री रविन्दर कुमार शर्मा, निवासी दाड़ी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ—पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसके पुत्र विवेक शर्मा का जन्म दिनांक 09-08-1991 को हुआ है परन्तु ग्राम पंचायत गवली दाड़ी में जन्म पंजीकृत न है अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त बच्चे विवेक शर्मा का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 22-8-15 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ—पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-7-15 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्याकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा

श्रीमती Parveen Joy

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना—पत्र जेरे धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती Parveen Joy पत्नी श्री Jagdeep Joy, निवासी Civil Bazar, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ—पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री Mariya Joy का जन्म दिनांक 13-09-2003 को हुआ है परन्तु एम0 सी0/ग्राम पंचायत ----- में जन्म पंजीकृत न है अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त बच्चे Mariya Joy का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज

हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 1-9-15 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 1-8-15 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा

श्री Kuldeep Singh Rana

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेरे धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्री Kuldeep Singh Rana पुत्र श्री Mahtab Singh, निवासी Sidhbari, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री नाम Kokila Rana का जन्म दिनांक 04-05-1987 को हुआ है परन्तु ग्राम पंचायत Sidhbari में जन्म पंजीकृत न है अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त बच्चे Kokila Rana का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 1-9-15 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 1-8-15 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला।

अज अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0

तारीख पेशी : 4-9-15

श्री अमी चन्द पुत्र श्री हरी दास, निवासी जिमजिमा, तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0

प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोम

दरखास्त बराए पंजीकरण वसीयत नामा जेर धारा 40-41 अधिनियम, 1938 के अन्तर्गत।

श्री अमी चन्द पुत्र श्री हरी दास, निवासी जिमजिमा, तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० ने इस अदालत में आवेदन पत्र प्रस्तुत कर अनुरोध किया है कि सम्बन्धित वसीयत जो श्रीमती कलवतू विधवा डूहणा पुत्र बुधू निवासी जिमजिमा ने मेरे नाम कर रखी है व अभी तक पंजीकृत न हुई है। जिसे पंजीकृत करने के आदेश पारित किये जावें।

अतः सर्वसाधारण जनता को इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 4-9-15 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असातन या वकालतन इस न्यायालय में हाजर होकर अपने उजर/एतराज पेश करे अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 21-7-15 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र०।

**In the Court of Shri Gian Sagar Negi, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (R),
District Shimla (H. P.)**

Shri Girender Gupta s/o Shri Rama Shankar Gupta, r/o Raju Building, Totu Chowk, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General Public

.. Respondent.

Whereas Shri Girender Gupta s/o Shri Rama Shankar Gupta, r/o Raju Building, Totu Chowk, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh has filed an application along with affidavit in the court of undersigned under section 13(3) of Births & Deaths Registration Act, 1969 to enter the name & date of birth of his daughter named—Ms. Neha d/o Shri Girender Gupta, r/o Raju Building, Totu Chowk, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh in the record of Secy., Birth and Death, Municipal Corporation, Shimla. Municipal Corporation issued the non-availability certificate vide No. 1325 dated 30.07.2015.

Sl. No.	Name of the family member	Relation	Date of Birth
1.	Ms. Neha	Daughter	14-06-1999

Hence, this proclamation is issued to the general public if they have any objection/claim regarding entry of the date of birth of above named in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla may file their claims/objections on or before one month of publication of this notice in Govt. Gazette in this court, failing which necessary orders will be passed.

Issued today 13-08-2015 under my signature and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (R), District Shimla.

**In the Court of Shri Gian Sagar Negi, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (R),
District Shimla (H. P.)**

Shri Rajesh Thakur s/o Shri Ratti Ram Thakur, r/o Village Himari, P.O. Kufri, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General Public

.. Respondent.

Whereas Shri Rajesh Thakur s/o Shri Ratti Ram Thakur, r/o Village Himari, P.O. Kufri, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh has filed an application along with affidavit in the court of undersigned under Section 13(3) of Births & Deaths Registration Act, 1969 to enter the name & date of birth of his daughter and son named—Ms. Swati Thakur, Mr. Vilohit Thakur and Nilohit Thakur d/s/o Shri Rajesh Thakur s/o Shri Ratti Ram Thakur, r/o Village Himari, P.O. Kufri, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh in the record of Secy., Birth and Death, Gram Panchayat Kufri. Gram Panchayat Kufri issued the non-availability certificate *vide* No. nil dated 11-06-2015.

Sl. No.	Name of the family members	Relation	Date of Birth
1.	Ms. Swati Thakur	Daughter	29-12-1999
2.	Mr. Vilohit Thakur	Son	29-07-2002
3.	Mr. Nilohit Thakur	Son	07-05-2004

Hence, this proclamation is issued to the general public if they have any objection/claim regarding entry of the date of birth of above named in the record of Gram Panchayat Kufri may file their claims/objections on or before one month of publication of this notice in Govt. Gazette in this court, failing which necessary orders will be passed.

Issued today 11.08.2015 under my signature and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (R), District Shimla.

**In the Court of Hemis Negi, HAS, Special marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban)**

In the matter of :-

(1) Shri Akash Krishnan aged about 30 years s/o Shri C. Kunhi Krishnan, r/o Flat No. 177 C, pocket C, Mayur Vihar phase-2, Patparganj, East Delhi 110091 (India).

(2) Dzuthohulu Veyie aged about 29 years d/o Shri Theyehuyi Vieyie, r/o 220 Oberoi Cecil, Chaura Maidan Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Notice to intended marriage under Sec. 5 of the Special marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Shri Akash Krishnan aged about 30 years s/o Shri C. Kunhi Krishnan, r/o Flat No. 177 C, pocket C, Mayur Vihar phase-2, Patparganj, East Delhi 110091 (India) and Dzuthohulu Veyie aged about 29 years d/o Shri Theyehuyi Vieyie r/o 220 Oberoi Cecil, Chaura Maidan Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, H.P. have filed an notice along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under Section 5 of the Special Marriage Act, 1954 to intended marriage with in three calender months from the date hereof.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding their marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 13-9-2015 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be solemnized accordingly.

Issued today on 13-8-2015 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (Urban).*

ब अदालत नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग, ऊना, जिला ऊना हि० प्र०

उनवान मुकदमा.—नाम दुरुस्ती

श्रीमती राम प्यारी विधवा सेवा दास, वासी जखेडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना हि० प्र०

... प्रार्थीया

बनाम

आम जनता

... प्रतिवादीगण

श्रीमती राम प्यारी विधवा सेवा दास, वासी जखेडा ने इस न्यायालय में शपथ—पत्र सहित प्रार्थना पत्र दायर किया है कि उसके पति का नाम राजस्व अभिलेख गांव जखेडा में सेवा राम चला आ रहा जो गलत दर्ज हुआ है, प्रार्थीया ने प्रार्थना की है कि उसके पति का नाम सेवा राम की वजाये सेवा दास दुरुस्त दर्ज राजस्व अभिलेख में किया जाये।

अतः इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता की यदि उपरोक्त के पति का नाम सेवा राम की बजाये सेवा दास दस्तुर राजस्व अभिलेख गांव जखेडा तहसील व जिला ऊना हि0 प्र0 में होने बारे कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह असालत या वकालत तारीक पेशी दिनांक 8.9.2015 को सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर अदालत होकर अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है। तारीक पेशी गुजर जाने उपरान्त कोई उजर मान्य न होंगे।

आज दिनांक 10-8-2015 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।